



European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2015 Section 10. Education and implementation of resuscitation

Robert Greif^{a,*}, Andrew S. Lockett^b, Patricia Conaghan^c, Anne Lippert^d, Wiebe De Vries^e, Koenraad G. Monsieurs^{f,g}, on behalf of the Education and implementation of resuscitation section Collaborators¹

^a Department of Anaesthesiology and Pain Medicine, University Hospital Bern and University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

^b Emergency Department, Calderdale Royal Hospital, Halifax, Salterhebble HX3 0PW, UK

^c School of Nursing, Midwifery & Social Work, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

^d Danish Institute for Medical Simulation, Center for HR, Capital Region of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark

^e Knowledge Centre, ACM Training Centre, Elburg, The Netherlands

^f Emergency Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

^g Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Ghent, Ghent, Belgium

Introduction

The chain of survival¹ was extended to the formula of survival² because it was realised that the goal of saving more lives relies not only on solid and high quality science but also the effective education of lay people and healthcare professionals.³ Ultimately, those who are engaged in the care of cardiac arrest victims should be able to implement resource efficient systems that can improve survival after cardiac arrest.

This chapter incorporates the 17 key educational PICO-questions (Population–Intervention–Control–Outcome) that were reviewed by the Education, Implementation and Teams (EIT) Task Force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) from 2011 to 2015. This evidence review and evaluation process followed the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) process described in the Consensus on Science and Training Recommendations 2015 (CoSTR).⁴ It summarises the new treatment recommendations for training and implementation. This chapter also covers the ERC basic principles of training and teaching of basic life support as well as advanced level life support. There is a strong focus on non-technical skills teaching (e.g. communication skills, team and leadership training). The ERC portfolio of courses is also included in this chapter, which ends with an outlook about educational resuscitation research and future course developments.

Delays in providing training materials and freeing staff for training were cited as reasons for delays in the implementation of the last guidelines.^{5–7} Therefore the ERC has carefully planned the

translation and dissemination process for these guidelines and the teaching material for all courses to facilitate the implementation of the 2015 guidelines on resuscitation in a timely manner. This chapter provides the basis of a successful educational strategy for improved CPR education.

Summary of changes since the 2010 ERC guidelines

The following is a summary of the most important new reviews or changes in recommendations for education, implementation and teams since the ERC 2010 Guidelines:

Training

- High fidelity training manikins provide greater physical realism and their use is popular with learners. They are, however, more expensive than standard lower fidelity manikins. In centres that have the resources to purchase and maintain high fidelity manikins, we recommend their use. The use of lower fidelity manikins however is appropriate for all levels of training on ERC courses.
- Directive CPR feedback devices are useful for improving compression rate, depth, release, and hand position. Tonal devices improve compression rates only and may have a detrimental effect on compression depth while rescuers focus on the rate. There is no current evidence to link tonal device use with improved outcomes following an ERC course.
- The intervals for retraining will differ according to the characteristics of the participants (e.g. lay or healthcare). It is known that CPR skills deteriorate within months of training and therefore annual retraining strategies may not be frequent enough. Whilst optimal intervals are not known, frequent 'low dose' retraining may be beneficial.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: robert.greif@insel.ch (R. Greif).

¹ The members of the Education and implementation of resuscitation section Collaborators are listed in the Collaborators section.

- Training in non-technical skills (e.g. communication skills, team leadership and team member roles) is an essential adjunct to the training of technical skills. This type of training should be incorporated into life support courses.
- Ambulance service dispatchers have an influential role to play in guiding lay rescuers how to deliver CPR. This role needs specific training in order to deliver clear and effective instructions in a stressful situation.

Implementation

- Data-driven performance-focused debriefing has been shown to improve performance of resuscitation teams. We highly recommend their use for teams managing patients in cardiac arrest,
- Regional systems including cardiac arrest centres are to be encouraged, as there is an association with increased survival and improved neurological outcome in victims of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.
- The use of innovative technologies and social media can be beneficial for the deployment of rapid responders to victims of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Novel systems are also being developed to alert bystanders to the location of the nearest AED. Any technology that improves the delivery of swift bystander CPR with rapid access to an AED is to be encouraged.
- “It takes a system to save a life”. [<http://www.resuscitationacademy.com/>] Healthcare systems with a responsibility for the management of patients in cardiac arrest (e.g. EMS organisations, cardiac arrest centres) should evaluate their processes to ensure that they are able to deliver care that ensures the best achievable survival rates.

Basic level training

Who to train

Basic Life Support (BLS) is the cornerstone of resuscitation and it is well established that bystander CPR is critical to survival in out-of-hospital cardiac arrests. Chest compressions and early defibrillation are the main determinants of survival from an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and there is some evidence that the introduction of training for lay people has improved survival at 30 days and 1 year.^{8,9}

For this reason a primary educational goal in resuscitation should be the training of lay people in CPR. There is evidence that training lay people in BLS is effective in improving the number of people willing to undertake BLS in a real situation.^{10–12} The term ‘lay people’ includes a wide range of capabilities from those without any formal health care training to those with a role where it may be expected that they would provide CPR (e.g. lifeguards, first aiders). Despite the increase in access to training for lay people, there is still an unwillingness of some to perform CPR. The reasons identified for this include fear of infection, fear of getting it wrong, and fear of legal implications.¹³

Training of family members of high risk patients can reduce anxiety of those family members and the patient, improve emotional adjustment, and empower individuals to feel that they would be able to start CPR. For high-risk populations (e.g. areas where there is high risk of cardiac arrest and low bystander response), recent evidence shows that specific factors can be identified which will enable targeted training based on the community’s unique characteristics.^{14,15} There is evidence that likely rescuers in these populations are unlikely to seek training on their own but that they gain competency in BLS skills and/or knowledge after training.^{16–18} They are willing to be trained and are likely to share training with others.^{16,17,19–21}

Most research in the teaching of resuscitation has been based on training adult rescuers in adult resuscitation skills. However teaching children and young adults arguably requires different approaches, but more research is required into the best methods to teach these groups basic life support.²²

One of the most important steps in increasing the rate of bystander resuscitation and improving survival worldwide is to educate all school children. The American Heart Association advocated compulsory resuscitation training in American schools in 2011.²³ Prior to this, the experience of teaching CPR to school children in Seattle over the last three decades has resulted in significantly higher bystander CPR rates and survival rate. Similarly, Scandinavian educational resuscitation school programs report significantly higher resuscitation rates.²⁴ This can be easily achieved by teaching children for just 2 h per year, beginning at the age of twelve.²² At that age, school children have a positive attitude toward learning resuscitation and both medical professionals and teachers require training to enable them to maximise the potential of these children.²⁵ School children and their teachers are resuscitation multipliers in both private and public settings as the children have been shown to pass on their learning to family members. The proportion of trained individuals in society will markedly increase in the longer term, leading to an increase in the overall rate of lay resuscitation.²⁶

Healthcare professionals working in a variety of settings including the community, emergency medical systems (EMS), general hospital wards, and critical care areas should all be taught CPR. Whilst low quality compressions are common both in terms of incorrect depth and rate, interruptions also contribute to ineffective CPR.²⁷ Given that poor performance is associated with lower survival rates, training on these components should be a core aspect of any resuscitation training.

It has been shown that well trained EMS dispatchers are able to improve bystander CPR and patient outcomes.²⁸ However there are concerns with their ability to recognise cardiac arrest particularly in relation to agonal breathing.²⁹ Consequently training of EMS dispatchers should include a focus on identification and the significance of agonal breathing,³⁰ and the importance of seizures as aspects of cardiac arrest. In addition EMS dispatchers need to be taught simplified scripts for instructing bystanders in CPR.³⁰

How to train

BLS/AED curricula should be tailored to the target audience and kept as simple as possible. Increasing access to different modalities of training (e.g. the use of digital media, on line, instructor-led teaching) and self-directed learning, offer alternative means of teaching both lay and professional providers. The effectiveness of these different blended learning approaches remains unclear and further research is required not only to link the immediate outcomes of courses to the teaching approach but also ultimately to identify the impact on the outcome of real life cardiac arrest situations. Training should be tailored to the needs of different types of learners and a variety of different teaching methods should be used to ensure acquisition and retention of resuscitation knowledge and skills. Self-instruction programmes with synchronous or asynchronous hands on practice (e.g. video, DVD, on-line training, computer giving feedback during training) appear to be an effective alternative to instructor-led courses for laypeople and healthcare providers learning BLS skills.^{31–35}

Those who are expected to perform CPR regularly need to have knowledge of current guidelines and be able to use them effectively as part of a multi-professional team. These individuals require more complex training including both technical and non-technical skills (e.g. teamwork, leadership, structured communication skills).^{36,37}

Basic life support and AED curriculum

Lay people are not only capable of effectively learning CPR, but evidence shows that they can be taught to use AEDs.³⁸ The introduction of Public Access Defibrillator (PAD) schemes has demonstrated the effectiveness of lay people in performing defibrillation,³⁹ but the question remains whether lay people require training to use AEDs or can use them without any prior input.⁴⁰ The curriculum for basic life support and AED training should be tailored to the target audience and kept as simple as possible. Whichever modality is chosen for the teaching, the following should be considered as core elements of the BLS and AED curriculum:

- Willingness to start CPR, including an understanding of personal and environmental risk
- Recognition of unconsciousness, gasping or agonal breathing in unresponsive individuals by assessment of responsiveness, opening of the airway and assessment of breathing to confirm cardiac arrest.^{41,42}
- Good quality chest compressions (adherence to rate, depth, full recoil and minimising hands-off time) and rescue breathing (ventilation time and volume)
- Feedback/prompts (human feedback within the CPR-team and/or from devices) during CPR training to improve skill acquisition and retention during basic life support training.⁴³

Standard CPR versus chest compression-only CPR teaching

The role of standard CPR versus chest compression-only CPR is discussed in the BLS Chapter of these ERC guidelines.⁴² A simplified, education-based approach is suggested to allow communities to train all citizens in CPR:

- All citizens should be taught how to perform chest compressions as a minimum requirement.
- Ideally, full CPR skills (compressions and ventilation using a 30:2 ratio) should also be taught to all citizens.
- When training is time-limited or opportunistic (e.g. EMS telephone instructions to a bystander, mass events, public campaigns, internet-based viral videos), it should focus on compression-only CPR. Local communities may want to consider their approach based on their local population epidemiology, cultural norms and bystander response rates.
- For those initially trained in compression-only CPR, ventilation may be covered in subsequent training. Ideally these individuals should be trained in compression-only CPR and then offered training in chest compressions with ventilation at the same training session.
- Those laypersons with a duty of care, such as first aid workers, lifeguards, and carers, should be taught standard CPR i.e. chest compressions and ventilation.
- For the resuscitation of children, rescuers should be encouraged to attempt resuscitation using whichever adult sequence they have been taught, as the outcome is worse if nothing is done. Non-specialists who wish to learn paediatric resuscitation because they have a responsibility for children (e.g. parents, teachers, school nurses, lifeguards), should be taught that it is preferable to modify adult basic life support and give five initial breaths followed by approximately 1 min of CPR before they go for help, if there is no-one to go for them.⁴⁴

Basic life support and AED training methods

There are numerous methods to deliver basic life support and AED training. Traditionally, instructor-led training courses remain

the most frequently used method for basic life support and AED training.⁴⁵ When compared with traditional instructor-led training, well designed self-instruction programmes (e.g. video, DVD, computer supported feedback) with shortened instructor coaching may be effective alternatives for laypeople and healthcare providers learning basic life support and, in particular, for the training of laypeople in AED skills.^{18,33,34,46–49}

If instructor-led training is not available then self-directed training is an acceptable pragmatic option to use an AED. Short video/computer self-instruction (with minimal or no instructor coaching) that includes synchronous hands-on practice in AED use (practice-while-you-watch) may be considered as an effective alternative to instructor-led AED courses.^{48,50,51}

Ultimately, it is known that rescuers can use AEDs without any formal training. It has been shown that the presence of a nearby AED is no guarantee of their usage.⁵² The advantage of delivering training, therefore, is that it increases general awareness of their use and benefit, whilst also providing a forum to dispel common myths about their use (e.g. the belief that they may do harm).

Duration and frequency of instructor-led basic life support and AED training courses

The optimal duration of instructor-led BLS and AED training courses has not been determined and is likely to vary according to the characteristics of the participants (e.g. lay or healthcare; previous training), the curriculum, the ratio of instructors to participants, the amount of hands-on training and the use of end-of-course assessments. Most studies show that CPR skills decay within three to six months after initial training.^{33,46,53–55} AED skills are retained for longer than BLS skills alone.^{56,57}

Although there is some evidence that higher frequency, short burst training could potentially enhance BLS training and reduce skill decay, more studies are needed to confirm this.^{53,55–57}

Current evidence shows that performance in the use of an AED (e.g. speed of use, correct pad placement) can be further improved with brief training of laypeople and healthcare professionals.^{49,58–60} Brief bedside booster CPR training of 2 min has also been shown to improve CPR quality irrespective of training content (instructor, or automated feedback or both) in Paediatric Basic Life Support providers during simulated cardiac arrest⁶¹ and improved with further training.⁶²

Peer-led resuscitation training has also been shown to be an effective means of delivering BLS training. Peer-tutors and assessors are competent, more available and less costly than clinical staff. Student instructors develop skills in teaching, assessment and appraisal, organisation and research. Sustainability is possible given succession-planning and consistent leadership. A 15 year review of peer led BLS teaching in a major University medical school demonstrated that such programmes can deliver greater participant satisfaction with learning outcomes equal to previous lecture-based sessions.⁶³

As there is evidence that frequent training improves CPR skills, responder confidence and willingness to perform CPR, it is recommended that organisations and individuals review the need for more frequent retraining based on the likelihood of cardiac arrest in their area. Retraining should take place at least every 12–24 months for students who are taking BLS courses. Additional high frequency, low dose update or retraining in certain settings may be considered. It is recommended that individuals more likely to encounter cardiac arrest consider more frequent retraining, due to the evidence that skills decay within 3–12 months after BLS training^{33,46,53,54,56,64} and evidence that frequent training improves CPR skills,^{34,65–69} responder confidence,⁶⁵ and willingness to perform CPR.³⁴

Use of CPR prompt/feedback devices during training

The use of CPR prompt/feedback devices may be considered during CPR training for lay people and healthcare professionals. Devices can be prompting (i.e. signal to perform an action e.g. metronome for compression rate or voice feedback), give feedback (i.e. after-event information based on effect of an action such as visual display of compression depth), or a combination of prompts and feedback. Training using a prompt/feedback device can improve CPR skill performance.⁷⁰ Instructors and rescuers should be made aware that a compressible support surface (e.g. mattress) may cause some prompt/feedback devices to overestimate depth of compression.^{71,72}

A systematic appraisal of the literature determined in both manikin and human studies that audiovisual feedback devices during resuscitation resulted in rescuers providing chest compression parameters closer to recommendations but no evidence was found that this translates into improved patient outcomes.⁷³ Substantial variation in the ability of CPR feedback devices to improve performance was found.^{74–76}

Advanced level training

Advanced level courses are mainly directed at healthcare personnel. In general, they cover the knowledge, skills and attitudes needed to function as part of (and ultimately lead) a resuscitation team.

Pre-course training and possible alternatives strategies to improve CPR training

A variety of methods can be used to prepare candidates before attending a life support course. These include the provision of pre-course reading, in the form of manuals and/or e-learning. Incorporating a pre-test into the preparatory work may further enhance these materials.^{77–82} One such example was a CD-based pre-course e-learning program for ALS that was well received by the participants. It was rated as improving their understanding of the key learning domains of the ALS course but failed to show superiority for cognitive or psychomotor skills during a standard cardiac arrest simulation.⁸³

Evidence has emerged regarding blended learning models (independent electronic learning coupled with a reduced duration instructor-led course). A pilot blended learning approach to ALS training including e-learning led to a 5.7% lower pass rate in cardiac arrest scenario testing, but similar scores on a knowledge and skills assessments, and reduced costs by more than half. There was no significant difference in overall pass rates.⁸⁴ This UK-based e-learning-ALS course was subsequently implemented and a further study of 27,170 candidates demonstrated equivalence to traditional instructor-led learning.⁸⁵ The online e-learning program of 6–8 h was to be completed by candidates prior to attending a one-day modified instructor-led ALS-course. e-ALS scores were significantly higher on the pre- and post-course MCQ and first attempt CAS-test pass rate was higher than compared to standard ALS courses (overall pass rate similar in both). Considering benefits such as increased candidate autonomy, improved cost-effectiveness, decreased instructor burden and improved standardisation of course material these reports encourage further dissemination of the e-learning courses for CPR training.

Principles of teaching skills

CPR skills can be taught in a stepwise process: dissecting the components of a skill into a real-time demonstration, explaining the facts, demonstration by the participants, and practicing

to facilitate visualisation, understanding, cognitive processing and execution of a skill. No studies have showed any advantage for different stepwise approaches despite their theoretical framework.^{86,87}

Basics of simulation to teach on advanced level courses

Simulation training is an integral part of resuscitation training. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 182 studies involving 16,636 participants on simulation-based training for resuscitation showed improvement in knowledge and skill performance compared to training without simulation.⁸⁸

Simulation training can be used to train a range of roles from the first responder to the resuscitation team member and ultimately the resuscitation team leader. It can be utilised to train both individual and team behaviour. A critical adjunct to this learning is the debriefing that occurs at the conclusion of the scenario.

With the exception of simulation training using live actors, the majority of training involves the use of purpose built manikins. High-fidelity manikins can provide physical findings, display vital signs, physiologically respond to interventions (via computer interface) and enable procedures to be performed on them (e.g. bag mask ventilation, intubation, intravenous or intra-osseous vascular access).⁸⁹ Simulation training using high-fidelity versus low-fidelity manikins seems to deliver a slight improvement in training outcome on skill performance at the end of the course.⁹⁰

When considering physical realism, these high-fidelity manikins are more popular with candidates and faculty but they are also much more expensive. Evidence that participants in ERC courses learn more or better CPR by using high-fidelity manikins is lacking. With this in mind, high-fidelity manikins can be used but if they are not available, the use of low-fidelity manikins is acceptable for standard advanced life support training.

Adherence to real-time 2-min cycles during advanced life support simulations is an important part of realistic fidelity. It is important that the duration of CPR cycles is not deliberately decreased in order to increase the number of scenarios.⁹¹

New teaching methods hold promise for the future but need more research before being adopted on a larger scale. Examples include specifically teaching “action-linked phrases” like “There’s no pulse, I will start chest compressions” which will generally prompt action (e.g. chest compressions) when taught on courses.⁹² Another example is “Rapid cycle deliberate practice” (RPSD) training, which has been shown to increase resuscitation skills in paediatric residents.⁹³ After an initial uninterrupted scenario and debriefing, the next scenarios are short, and interrupted at pre-determined points to give direct feedback on specific procedures or actions.

Training of non-technical skills (NTS) including leadership and team training to improve CPR outcome

Accomplishing successful resuscitation is a team performance in most instances and as with any other skill, effective teamwork and leadership skills need to be trained.^{94,95} For example, the implementation of team training programmes resulted in an increase in hospital survival from paediatric cardiac arrest⁹⁶ and in surgical patients.⁹⁷

Training in non-technical skills, such as effective communication, situational awareness, leadership and followership, using crisis resource management principles purposefully in simulations, has been shown to transfer learning from simulation into clinical practise.^{98,99} Resuscitation team performance has been shown to improve in actual cardiac arrest or simulated in-hospital advanced life support scenarios, when specific team or leadership training is added to advanced level courses.^{100–104} By delivering training in

an environment as close to real-life experience as possible, concepts regarding team working can be addressed at the level of the individual.^{105,106}

Specific team training can increase team performance, leadership skills, and task management performance and the effect can last for up to one year.^{94,95,100,101,107–111} On the other hand, leadership training in addition to CPR skills has been shown not to improve actual CPR skills.¹¹²

Assessment instruments (mainly checklists) have been developed, validated, and recommended for individual team members. Rating scales exist for the assessment of team performance, which can subsequently be used to deliver feedback on team performance.^{113–116}

Training intervals and assessment of competences

Little evidence exists about the retention of knowledge after ALS courses.¹¹⁷ It is believed that learners with increased clinical experience have improved long-term retention of knowledge and skills.^{118,119} Written tests in ALS courses do not reliably predict practical skill performance and should not be used as a substitute for demonstration of clinical skill performance.^{120,121} Assessment at the end of training seems to have a beneficial effect on subsequent performance and retention.^{122,123}

There is emerging evidence that frequent manikin-based refresher training in the form of low-dose in-situ training may save costs, reduce the total time for retraining, and it seems to be preferred by the learners.^{124,125} Refresher training is invariably required to maintain knowledge and skills; however, the optimal frequency for refresher training is unclear.^{124,126–128}

A simulation-enhanced booster session nine months after a neonatal resuscitation training program demonstrated better procedural skill and teamwork behaviour at fifteen months.¹²⁹ Teamwork behaviours were further enhanced when residents were engaged in clinical resuscitation or by exposure to deliberate practice with simulation.

Use of checklists, feedback devices, and in-situ training

Cognitive aids such as checklists may improve adherence to guidelines as long as they do not cause delays in starting CPR and the correct checklist is used during simulation¹³⁰ and real patient cardiac arrest.¹³¹ For example, the implementation of an Advanced Trauma Life Support check list improved adherence to protocol driven task performance, frequency and speed of task completion.¹³²

Feedback devices that provide directive feedback in compression rate, depth, release, and hand position during training may be considered to improve the level of skill acquisition by the end of course.^{61,74,76,133–137} In their absence, tonal guidance (e.g. music or metronome) during training may improve compression rates only. There is evidence that tonal guidance can reduce compression depth as the candidate focuses on the rate.^{137–139} CPR prompt or feedback devices improve CPR skill acquisition and retention in BLS and might also be used to improve proper application of these basic CPR skills during advanced level training. However, the use of CPR feedback or prompt devices during CPR should only be considered as part of a broader system of care that should include comprehensive CPR quality improvement initiatives,¹⁴⁰ rather than as an isolated intervention.

In-situ simulations can offer opportunities to train the full team¹⁴¹ as well as provide insight into the work flow on the organisational level.¹⁴² Furthermore it might be easier to include training of a full team of care providers across disciplines in-situ and this can improve advanced life support provider knowledge,¹⁴³ skill performance,¹⁴⁴ confidence and preparedness,¹⁴¹ familiarity

with the environment¹⁴⁵ and identify common system and user errors.^{142,146,147}

Briefing and debriefing after cardiac arrest simulation

Debriefing after cardiac arrest simulation is an essential part of the learning process. If the simulated scenario training is followed by debriefing then learning will occur, as opposed to scenario training without debriefing.¹⁴⁸ The ideal format of debriefing has yet to be determined. Studies have failed to show a difference with and without the use of video clips for debriefing.^{149,150}

Implementation and change management

The formula for survival concludes with 'Local Implementation'.² The combination of medical science and educational efficiency is not sufficient to improve survival if there is poor or absent implementation. Frequently, this implementation will also require some form of change management to embed new visions into a local culture. Quite often, the 'easy fix' will not be the sustainable solution and prolonged negotiation and diplomacy may be needed. A prime example of this is the implementation of CPR training on the school curriculum—countries that have achieved this goal have sometimes spent years campaigning and persuading governments for this change to be adopted. Change can be driven from below, but to be sustainable it usually needs top down buy-in as well.

This section was not present in the 2010 ERC Guidelines and has been added in recognition of its importance in the quest to improve survival.

Impact of guidelines

In each country, implementation is largely based on the internationally agreed guidelines for cardiac resuscitation. National strategies for education are dependent upon evidence-based solutions to the management of cardiac arrest. The most important question, therefore, should be whether these guidelines actually result in any meaningful and improved outcomes. The authors freely acknowledge a conflict of interest here—if we prove that our guidelines have no tangible benefit then we call into question the resources that have been invested to generate them. The evidence suggests a positive benefit when considering survival to hospital discharge,^{8,151–156} return of spontaneous circulation,^{8,151–155} and CPR performance.^{8,153} Irrespective, the likelihood of benefit is high relative to possible harm.

Cardiac arrest centres

In the last few years, regional healthcare systems have emerged for the management of conditions like stroke, major trauma, and myocardial infarction. These have mainly been driven by centralisation of limited resources as opposed to evidence of benefit from randomised trials. There is emerging evidence that the transport of patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest to a specialised cardiac arrest centre may be associated with improved neurologically intact survival.^{157–170} The studies currently available had inconsistencies in terms of the specific factors that allegedly contributed to better outcomes. More research needs to be performed to identify the specific aspects of a cardiac arrest centre that improve outcome, as well as the influence of journey times and whether secondary transfers to such centres could also obtain the same benefit.

Scenario-based simulation training and re-training, regular practice and a team approach to device placement are necessary for coronary catheterisation laboratory personnel. When introducing

mechanical chest compression devices into clinical practice a significant learning curve was observed.¹⁷¹ During prolonged resuscitation efforts in the coronary catheterisation laboratory, the implementation of a structured resuscitation approach improved teamwork.¹⁷²

Use of technology and social media

The prevalence of smartphones and tablet devices has led to the generation of numerous approaches to implementation through the use of 'apps' and also social media. These fall into several categories:

- (1) Simple delivery of information—apps that display resuscitation algorithms.
- (2) Interactive delivery of information—apps that use the geo-location of the user to display the location of the nearest AED.
- (3) Interactive delivery of education—apps that engage with the user and create an immersive and interactive means of educating the user (e.g. Lifesaver) [www.life-saver.org.uk].
- (4) Blended learning packages for life support courses—an e-learning programme with abbreviated instructor-led training has been shown to be equivalent to standard training for advanced life support courses.⁸⁵
- (5) Feedback devices—real time use of the accelerometer to improve rate, depth of compressions as well as recording data for debriefing.¹⁷³
- (6) Notification and activation of bystander schemes—if individuals are willing and able to provide basic life support in a community, the use of these systems may lead to faster response times when compared with emergency service attendance.^{174,175}
- (7) Use of social media to disseminate information to a wider audience and assist with campaigns to effect change.

Ultimately, technology and social media are powerful vectors for implementation and change management. Their development and use should be encouraged and analysed to assess the actual impact on survival.

Measuring performance of resuscitation systems

As systems evolve to improve the outcomes from cardiac arrest, we need to accurately assess their impact. This is particularly important for larger systems with multi-factorial components any of which may be beneficial either in isolation or combination. For example, it has already been shown that further work needs to be done to evaluate the impact of cardiac arrest centres.

Measuring performance and implementing quality improvement initiatives will further enhance systems to deliver optimal results.^{102,176–181}

Debriefing after resuscitation in the clinical setting

Feedback to members of an in-hospital cardiac arrest team about their performance in an actual cardiac arrest (as opposed to the training environment) can lead to improved outcomes. This can either be real-time and data-driven (e.g. use of feedback devices on cardiac compression metrics) or in a structured post event performance focused debrief.^{102,182} The ideal approach to debriefing is yet to be determined, including the interval between actual performance and the debriefing event. Although it seems intuitive to provide this level of debriefing for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest performance, no evidence exists to support or refute its benefit.

Medical emergency teams for adults

When considering the chain of survival for cardiac arrest,¹ the first link is the early recognition of the deteriorating patient and prevention of cardiac arrest. A considerable amount of work has been done to evaluate the role of the Medical Emergency Team (MET) in this respect. We recommend their use and, in particular, the use of higher intensity systems (e.g. higher MET calling rates, senior medical staff on the team) as their use has been associated with a reduced incidence of cardiac/respiratory arrest^{183–189} and improved survival rates.^{184,186–189,183,190}

It is recommended that these systems include:

- (1) staff education about the signs of patient deterioration
- (2) appropriate and regular vital signs monitoring of patients
- (3) clear guidance (e.g. via calling criteria or early warning scores) to assist staff in the early detection of patient deterioration
- (4) a clear uniform system of calling for assistance
- (5) a clinical response to calls for assistance.

Training in resource limited settings

There are many different techniques for teaching ALS and BLS in resource limited settings. These include simulation, multi-media learning, self-directed learning, limited instruction, and self-directed computer-based learning. Some of these techniques are less expensive and require less instructor resources than a traditional teaching format. Some techniques also enable wider dissemination of ALS and BLS training. It is reasonable to suggest the use of these strategies in resource limited settings, although the optimal strategy is yet to be determined and will differ from one country to another.^{191–197}

Training in ethics and first aid

Insights into training health care professionals about DNAR issues and approaches to practicing procedures on the newly deceased are provided in the Ethics chapter of the ERC guidelines 2015.¹⁹⁸ The First Aid chapter of the 2015 ERC Guidelines provides guidelines about first aid education and training programs as well as public health campaigns.¹⁹⁹

The ERC resuscitation course program

The ERC has developed a wide range of courses targeting all levels of providers, from basic life support for lay rescuers to advanced life support for health care providers. ERC courses teach the competences to undertake resuscitation in the clinical setting at the level that they would be expected to perform. Besides resuscitation skills, emphasis is given to non-technical skills and leadership training, application of ethical principles and advanced educational strategies as well as organisational improvements on a system level to improve survival after cardiac arrest. Specific courses teach these competences whilst others train how competences are to be taught.

ERC courses focus on teaching in small groups with a high instructor to candidate ratio using blended learning strategies, including interactive discussion, workshops and hands-on practice for skills and simulations using resuscitation manikins.^{200,201}

Up-to-date information about ERC courses is available in the "ERC course rules" on the ERC website [<https://www.erc.edu/index.php/doclibrary/en/>]. The course rules describe in detail the ERC terminology and definitions; specifics of the organisation and management of different ERC course formats and quality control; the instructor development up to course director, instructor trainer and ERC educator; the ERC assessment and certification/recertification

process; and the ERC professional behavioural guides including complaints procedures.

Ethos

Instructors on ERC courses are trained in teaching and assessment. The ethos is to create a supportive, learner-centred environment that promotes learning, enhancing understanding of knowledge and retention of skills. First names are encouraged among both faculty and candidates to reduce apprehension. Interactions between faculty and candidates are driven to learn from each other's experiences. Aimed changes in behaviour are elaborated by encouragement with constructive and corrective feedback as well as debriefing on performance. A mentor/mentee system is used to enhance feedback and support for the candidate. Some stress is inevitable,²⁰² particularly during assessment, but instructors aim to enable the candidates to do their best. ERC courses are driven by the ultimate goal to improve resuscitation performance to increase survival of cardiac arrest victims.

Course management

ERC courses are overseen by the Joint International Course Committee (JICC) consisting of the chairpersons of the International Course Committees (ICC) for all ERC-course types (BLS/AED, Immediate Life Support (ILS), ALS, Neonatal Life Support (NLS), European Paediatric Immediate Life Support/European Paediatric Advanced Live Support (EPILS/EPALS), Generic Instructor Course (GIC)) and is led by the Board Director for Training and Education (DTE). On the national level, each National Resuscitation Council (NRC) assigns National Course Directors (NCD) for each course type.

The ERC has developed a web-based course management system [<http://courses.erc.edu>] for the administration of these courses. Candidates may sign up online to a course, or may contact the course organiser to register their interest in a specific course. At the end of the course the system will generate unique numbered course certificates for successful candidates and also each faculty member. For quality control an evaluation tool is available for each course and results are accessible for NRCs, NCDs and ICC members. Participants who successfully complete provider courses are referred to as 'providers'.

Language

Initially, the ERC courses were taught in English by an international faculty. As local instructors have been trained, and manuals and course materials have been translated into different languages, many NRCs are now able to deliver their courses locally in their native language. It is important that this does not compromise the quality control of courses and instructor development and the process of translation of new guidelines and course materials should not delay the implementation of new guidelines.⁵

Instructor development

Individuals who have passed and demonstrated a high level of performance during a provider course and, importantly, have shown qualities of leadership and team working, shown clinical credibility, with skills that include being articulate, supportive, and motivated may be identified by the course faculty as Instructor Potential (IP). Individuals with IP in any advanced course will be invited to take the ERC Generic Instructor Course (GIC). IPs after BLS/AED courses will be invited to take the BLS/AED instructor Course.

At the GIC, an ERC educator who has undertaken specific training in medical education and in the principles of adult learning (ERC

Educator Master Class), is responsible for delivering the educational principles of ERC courses.

From the instructor candidate (IC) stage to full instructor (FI)

Following successful completion of a GIC, IPs are granted IC status and normally will teach on two provider courses, under supervision of the course faculty, receiving constructive and corrective feedback on his or her performance with the aim of being promoted to FI status. This feedback enhances teaching practice during the GIC and as an IC in the first provider courses by formulating learning goals for subsequent courses.

Course director (CD) status

An approved Course Director leads each ERC course. CDs are proposed by NCDs and approved by their NRC or the respective ICC. CDs are senior instructors who are clinically credible, have demonstrated excellent qualities as a teacher, mentor, and assessor, and possess the skills to lead a faculty of instructors.

General ERC course principles [ERC course rules on www.erc.edu]

Content of ERC courses

All ERC courses follow contemporary ERC guidelines. Each course has its specific course manual or teaching booklet providing the required pre-course knowledge. Candidates receive the manual in advance to prepare for each course with a mandatory pre-course MCQ (except for BLS/AED, ILS and EPILS) that aims to ensure that candidates read the materials before attending the course.

All ERC courses comprise interactive lecture and group discussions, small group workshops, hands-on skills teaching and, for advanced level training, clinically orientated Cardiac Arrest Simulation (CAS) and emergency case scenarios. Most course formats include options enabling instructors to tailor their teaching to the candidates' local needs.

Immediate and advanced life support courses

Immediate and advanced life support courses target the training of healthcare providers. Curricula have core content and can be tailored to match individual learning needs, patient case mix and the individual's role within the healthcare systems response to cardiac arrest. Core modules for these courses include:

- Cardiac arrest prevention.^{203,204}
- High quality chest compressions (adherence to rate, depth, full recoil and minimizing hands-off time) and ventilation using basic skills (e.g. pocket mask, bag mask).
- Defibrillation, with charging during compressions for hands-free defibrillation.
- Advanced life support algorithms and cardiac arrest drugs.
- Non-technical skills (e.g. leadership and team training, communication).

Immediate life support courses. ILS courses for adults and EPILS courses for children are one-day courses focusing on the causes and prevention of cardiac arrest, the ABCDE approach to the critically ill patient, starting effective BLS/AED, initiating the chain of survival, and basic CPR skills (e.g. effective chest compression and safe delivery of a defibrillation shock, basic airway management, choking, intravenous or intra-osseous access, and drugs during cardiac arrest).²⁰⁵ These courses are designed to be simple to run with small groups of candidates. The aim is to train candidates in the use of the equipment (e.g. defibrillator type) that is available in their clinical setting and the management of the first minutes of cardiac arrest until professional rescuers arrive.

Advanced life support courses. ALS courses for adults, EPALS for neonates and children, and NLS courses for newborns build upon the knowledge and skills from the respective Basic and/or Immediate Life Support courses. This provides the foundation for these 2-day advanced courses placing emphasis on safe defibrillation and ECG interpretation, the management of the airway, ventilation and vascular access, the management of peri-arrest rhythms, and special circumstances relating to severe illness, injury, and cardiac arrest. Post-resuscitation care, ethical aspects related to resuscitation and care of the bereaved are also included. These courses should enable providers to cover the first hour of critical illness or injury and cardiac arrest. They are not designed to provide instruction in advanced intensive care or cardiology.

The faculty meeting

The faculty meeting usually takes place at the start and at the end of each course day and is led by the course director. The aim is to brief the teaching faculty and to assess the performance and progress of each candidate. During the final faculty meeting each candidate's performance is reviewed to make a decision about successful course participation and whether candidates who have met the required criteria are offered instructor potential status. Instructor candidates on the courses are also assessed on their performance. Faculty meetings also provide an opportunity to debrief the faculty at the end of the course.

Assessment and feedback

Throughout the course, the faculty assesses each candidate formatively and individually. Candidates' performances and attitudes are discussed at the daily faculty meetings, with mentoring and feedback given as required. Instructors are taught to use a framework aimed at providing timely, constructive, goal orientated, student centred and action planned feedback to enable the learner to achieve the desired outcome.

The standard ERC feedback format is the Learning Conversation. The learning conversation starts with an invitation to reflect and it is primarily centred on any issue that the candidate wishes to discuss. This is followed by a discussion of any key areas that the instructor wishes to discuss, along with contributions from the group and other instructors. Any important performance issues are then summarised with specific action points for the candidate to improve their further performance.

Candidates' performances are continuously assessed throughout BLS, ILS, and GIC courses, measuring their competences against pre-determined criteria; no summative tests are required to be certified.

Towards the end of NLS and ALS courses a Cardiac Arrest Simulation Test (CAST) assesses the candidates' applied knowledge and skills during a simulated cardiac arrest including leading a cardiac arrest team. The reliability and measurement properties of CAST have been established.^{121,206,207} Their core knowledge is assessed with an MCQ.

Mentoring

Mentoring is an essential part of all ERC courses and enables candidates to have a nominated role model. Group or 1:1 mentoring happens during ERC courses on a regular basis.

Specific formats of ERC resuscitation courses

Basic life support and automated external defibrillation (BLS/AED) provider courses and BLS/AED instructor course. BLS/AED courses are appropriate for all citizens including lay persons and trained first responders (first-aid workers, lifeguards), those with a duty of care for others (e.g. school teachers, care workers, security personnel) and ultimately all clinical and non-clinical healthcare professionals (including EMS systems dispatchers, general practitioners, dentists,

medical and nursing students, and those who are less likely to manage a cardiac arrest). Combined BLS/AED courses are encouraged.

BLS/AED courses aim to enable each candidate to gain competency in recognising a cardiac arrest, immediate instigation of effective chest compression, calling appropriate help to the scene and safe use of an AED. These courses teach children and adults in CPR competences for children and adults in cardiac arrest.

The ERC BLS/AED instructor course offers candidates who hold a valid BLS/AED certificate and who are identified as instructor potential the opportunity to train to be BLS/AED instructors.

Immediate life support (ILS) course. The ILS course teaches the majority of healthcare professionals from all disciplines and professions who face adult cardiac arrests rarely but are potential first responders or resuscitation team members.²⁰⁸ Applied ILS competences should result in successful resuscitation whilst awaiting the arrival of the resuscitation team covering the first minutes of CPR.²⁰⁹ In a cohort study after implementation of an ILS-programme the number of cardiac arrest calls and true arrests decreased while pre-arrest calls increased as well as initial survival and survival to discharge.²¹⁰

Advanced life support (ALS) course. The target candidates for the ALS course are physicians, nurses, EMS personnel, and selected hospital technicians who may be resuscitation team leaders and members for adult CPR.^{211,212}

Beyond the expected BLS and ILS competences to be mastered by the candidates, this course format teaches the management of cardiac arrest from a diversity of causes and the management of peri-arrest problems and concentrates on the application of non-technical skills with emphasis on team-cooperation under clear team leadership.

Newborn life support (NLS) course. This one-day inter-professional course aims to give healthcare workers likely to be present at the birth of babies (e.g. midwives,²¹³ nurses, EMS personnel, physicians) the background knowledge and skills to approach the management and resuscitation of the newly born during the first 10–20 min. NLS places appropriate emphasis on airway management, chest compression, umbilical venous access and drugs for newborn CPR.²¹⁴

European paediatric immediate life support (EPILS) course. EPILS is a one-day course (5 to 8 h) that trains nurses, EMS personnel, and doctors who are not part of a paediatric resuscitation team to recognise and treat critically-ill infants and children, to prevent cardiorespiratory arrest and to treat children in cardiorespiratory arrest during the first few minutes whilst awaiting the arrival of a resuscitation team. Short practical simulations adapted to the workplace and to the actual clinical role of candidates are used to teach the core competencies.

European paediatric advanced life support (EPALS) course. EPALS is designed for healthcare workers who are involved in the resuscitation of newborns, infants or children providing sufficient competences to manage critically ill or injured children during the first hour of illness.^{215–218} Refresher training in paediatric basic life support and relief of foreign body airway obstruction is included.

EPALS puts great emphasis on the recognition and continuous assessment and timely treatment of the sick child (e.g. cardiac and respiratory failure, arrest and trauma simulations). Aspects of team working and team leadership are integrated in the training, including problem anticipation and situational awareness. Depending on local needs and circumstances EPALS may further include modules on newborn resuscitation, post-arrest care and handover, and/or

modules on more advanced knowledge or technical skills. These latter modules are being continuously developed.

Generic instructor course (GIC). The GIC is for candidates who have been recommended as instructor potential (IP) emanating from any ERC provider courses (except the BLS/AED course that has a separate instructor course) or with IP status from certain other provider courses (e.g. European Trauma Course). The GIC puts emphasis on developing teaching and constructive and corrective feedback and mentoring. Core knowledge of the original provider course is assumed.

An ERC educator leads the educational process, the discussions and provides critical feedback. The Educator delivers interactive sessions covering the theory of adult learning, effective teaching of skills and simulated scenarios, assessment and effective feedback, and leadership and non-technical skills through a series of interactive sessions. The faculty demonstrates each of these competencies, followed by opportunities for the candidates to practise.

Abbreviated material from the original provider course is used for the simulated teaching sessions. The GIC emphasises the concept of constructive and corrective feedback to develop future learning strategies thus providing an opportunity for each candidate to adopt the instructor role.

Educator master class (EMC). ERC educators are an essential mandatory component of the GIC faculty. A two-day educator master class teaches experienced provider course instructors with a demonstrable interest in education to become ERC educators. NRCs propose suitable candidates who are then shortlisted by the ERC Working Group on Education based on specific criteria (including motivation, qualification in medical education or documentation of demonstrated special commitment to educational practice over a number of years within the ERC).

EMC instructors are experienced educators assigned by the Working Group on Education and the Director of Training and Education. The EMC covers the theoretical framework for ERC educators, assessment and quality control, teaching methodologies, critical appraisal, the mentor role, multi-professional education strategies and continuous development of the ERC teaching faculty. The format of the EMC is a series of closed discussions, small breakout groups and problem solving sessions. Candidates are formatively assessed throughout the EMC.

European resuscitation academy (ERA)—“It takes a system to save a life”

The ERA aims to improve survival from cardiac arrest through a focus on healthcare system improvements that bring the individual links in the Chain of Survival and the Formula for Survival together. Entire EMS staff (managers, administrative and medical directors, physicians, EMTs and dispatchers) from different health care systems and countries are invited to learn from the ERA Program (derived from the Seattle (US) based Resuscitation Academy [<http://www.resuscitationacademy.com/>] ten steps for improving cardiac arrest survival) together with the local host health institutions. The ERA puts emphasis on defining the local cardiac arrest survival rate by understanding the importance of reporting data in a standardised Utstein template. Participating EMS systems are encouraged to develop concrete measures to improve cardiac arrest survival followed by appropriate measurements of these action plans.

Future direction for research and course development

The production of international guidelines for resuscitation is a constantly evolving exercise. High quality research continues to be published with evidence that may or may not suggest that the guidelines of today are acceptable.

In parallel with this, the science of education also continues to evolve. Our methods for teaching these guidelines have changed substantially over the years from the early days of didactic theoretical delivery of teaching to contemporary interactive, hands-on methods that also utilise technology and social media.

There is still a paucity of high quality evidence about the best methods of teaching, primarily because the numbers of candidates needed to produce statistical significance for meaningful outcomes (e.g. increase in patient survival) would need to be massive. There is a role therefore for international collaboration to achieve such numbers in a similar style to the collaborations used to assess some of the clinical content to the guidelines. Until the time that statistical significance is achieved, it is essential that we continue to evaluate our educational methods and assess the *educational* importance or relevance of the findings.

New insights about educational process, neuro-science impact on training and rapid developments in social media and online applications mean that our approach to education is constantly changing. This chapter highlights current changes and what may change in the near future.

Recommendations for educational research in resuscitation

Every educational intervention should be evaluated to ensure that it reliably achieves the learning objectives and at its best improves patient outcome in a cardiac arrest situation. The aim is to ensure that learners not only acquire skills and knowledge but also retain them to be able to provide adequate actions depending on the level of training. Evaluation at the level of patient outcome is difficult to achieve, as several other parameters influence patient outcome, such as changes in guidelines, changes in case-mix, and organisational changes. The level of outcome studied, should be determined during the planning phase of the educational event.²¹⁹ It is difficult to assess behaviour in the clinical setting so this attribute is more commonly assessed with simulation using manikins. Generalisability from manikin studies is questionable, though, and that is the reason why so little high-level evidence is found in the literature.

Education in resuscitation is still a relatively new field lacking high quality research. Studies are heterogenous in design and prone to risk of bias and therefore difficult to compare. A research compass to guide future studies in education has been devised at a research summit.²²⁰

Future course development

The educational strategy of the ERC is based on uniform instructor courses and standardised provider course curricula. This will evolve as more blended learning methods become available. Flexibility is needed in teaching CPR on all levels as different media like DVD, Internet and on-line training increase the learning benefit.

New curricula should allow this flexibility. Some core-content modules will be the ‘heart’ of any ERC-course which will allow the customisation of each course format with additional optional content (medical as well as non-technical aspects) to support and train learners according to local needs. Some institutions will, for some learners, have very specialised modules (e.g. cardiac arrest after cardiac surgery, advanced neonatal support at an ICU, obstetric resuscitation, resuscitation during surgery in the operation room) that can be added to the standard core-content of the course.

New teaching technology (IT-based learning like webinars, e-learning modules on the ERC virtual learning environment) will be adopted and this needs to be addressed in the GIC as well as in the supervision and mentoring of all instructors, course directors and educators.

Learners using video- or online training may no longer need a printed manual, as they will have immediate access to the content on the Internet. This will provide substantially more opportunity to integrate pictures, demonstration videos of skills and team performance, self-assessment tests with guidance of how to improve, and linked literature to deepen interests. A virtual learning environment (VLE) will furthermore monitor and support the ongoing learning trajectory of each individual in terms of knowledge, skills, attitudes and global performance from providers to instructors as well as course organisers.

Reading and learning knowledge-based facts, thinking through procedures and action strategies, and discussing open questions can all be done before candidates come to the course venue. Highly motivated course participants will come to the course centre with a high level of knowledge, a clear vision when to apply which procedures and how to interact with a team to perform quality CPR. Due to increasing constraints on study and teaching leave, the time spent at the course centre needs to be focused on the translation of the learned concepts in the simulated scenarios. This will enable candidates to try out, rehearse and execute life-saving techniques, using best medical practice and team leadership and management. This should ultimately enable providers to increase survival after cardiac arrest in the clinical setting.

High frequency training will be very short and might not necessarily need personal coaching by an instructor or mentor. The training environment should be brought to the learners, so that they can experience it during daily activities to reach the high frequency objective. A brief annual CPR competence test may be used to filter out those who do not achieve institutionally defined levels of competence. Some might need brief training under supervision to reach competence, whereas others may need a longer formal refresher process. Course organisers have to plan their courses in a flexible way, allowing a shorter duration for target groups with extra background, and more hands-on time for lay rescuers.

The use of high fidelity manikins and advanced feedback devices will be available for countries and organisations with the financial capacity, but not for all countries and organisations. When using low fidelity manikins, instructors need to be trained to deliver timely and valid feedback to the learner to increase their learning.

Ultimately, the goal of the ERC is to strengthen each component of the Chain of Survival through effective education and implementation. The aim should be to develop teaching strategies for lay people and healthcare professionals to deliver high quality BLS, swift defibrillation, effective advanced resuscitation, and high quality post resuscitation care. These strategies should be easy, accessible, well validated, and appealing. This will ensure that the scientific guidelines can effectively translate into improved survival rates.

Collaborators

John H.W. Ballance, Woolhope, Herefordshire, UK
Alessandro Barelli, Teaching Hospital Agostino Gemelli, Rome, Italy
Dominique Biarent, Paediatric Intensive Care and Emergency Department, Hôpital Universitaire des Enfants, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium
Leo Bossaert, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

Maaret Castrén, Department of Emergency Medicine and Services, Helsinki University Hospital and Helsinki University, Helsinki, Finland

Anthony J. Handley, Hillcrest Cottage, Hadstock, Cambridge, UK

Carsten Lott, Department of Anesthesiology, University Medical Center, Johannes Gutenberg-University, Mainz, Germany

Ian Maconochie, Paediatric Emergency Medicine, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust and BRC Imperial NIHR Grant Holder, Imperial College London, London, UK

Jerry P. Nolan, Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Royal United Hospital, Bath, Bristol, UK; Bristol University, Bristol, UK

Gavin Perkins, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK; Critical Care Unit, Heart of England NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK

Violetta Raffay, Municipal Institute for Emergency Medicine Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia

Charlotte Ringsted, Faculty of Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

Jasmeet Soar, Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Southmead Hospital, Bristol, UK

Joachim Schlieber, Trauma Hospital Salzburg, Salzburg, Austria

Patrick Van de Voorde, University Hospital and University Ghent, Federal Department Health, Ghent, Belgium

Jonathan Wyllie, James Cook University Hospital, Middlesbrough, UK

David Zideman, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London, UK

Conflicts of interest

Robert Greif	Editor for Trends in Anesthesia and Critical Care.
Andrew S. Lockey	Medical Advisor "First on Scene First Aid Company".
Anne Lippert	No conflict of interest reported.
Koenraad G. Monsieurs	No conflict of interest reported.
Patricia Conaghan	No conflict of interest reported.
Wiebe De Vries	Training Organisation ACM employee.

Acknowledgement

The Writing Group acknowledges the significant contributions to this chapter by the late Sam Richmond.

References

- Nolan J, Soar J, Eikeland H. The chain of survival. *Resuscitation* 2006;71:270–1.
- Soreide E, Morrison L, Hillman K, et al. The formula for survival in resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2013;84:1487–93.
- Chamberlain DA, Hazinski MF. Education in resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2003;59:11–43.
- Morley PT, Lang E, Aickin R, et al. Part 2: evidence evaluation and management of conflict of interest for the ILCOR 2015 consensus on science and treatment recommendations. *Resuscitation* 2015;95:e33–41.
- Berdowski J, Schmohl A, Tijssen JG, Koster RW. Time needed for a regional emergency medical system to implement resuscitation guidelines 2005—The Netherlands experience. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:1336–41.
- Bigham BL, Aufderheide TP, Davis DP, et al. Knowledge translation in emergency medical services: a qualitative survey of barriers to guideline implementation. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:836–40.
- Bigham BL, Koprowicz K, Aufderheide TP, et al. Delayed prehospital implementation of the 2005 American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiac care. *Prehospital Emergency Care* 2010;14:355–60 (Official journal of the National Association of EMS Physicians and the National Association of State EMS Directors).
- Kudenchuk PJ, Redshaw JD, Stubbs BA, et al. Impact of changes in resuscitation practice on survival and neurological outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest resulting from nonshockable arrhythmias. *Circulation* 2012;125:1787–94.
- Steinberg MT, Olsen JA, Brunborg C, et al. Minimizing pre-shock chest compression pauses in a cardiopulmonary resuscitation cycle by performing an earlier rhythm analysis. *Resuscitation* 2015;87:33–7.
- Swor R, Khan I, Domeier R, Honeycutt L, Chu K, Compton S. CPR training and CPR performance: do CPR-trained bystanders perform CPR? *Acad Emerg*

- Med 2006;13:596–601 (Official journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine).
11. Tanigawa K, Iwami T, Nishiyama C, Nonogi H, Kawamura T. Are trained individuals more likely to perform bystander CPR? An observational study. *Resuscitation* 2011;82:523–8.
 12. Nielsen AM, Isbye DL, Lippert FK, Rasmussen LS. Can mass education and a television campaign change the attitudes towards cardiopulmonary resuscitation in a rural community? *Scand J Trauma Resuscitation Emergency Med* 2013;21:39.
 13. Savastano S, Vanni V. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation in real life: the most frequent fears of lay rescuers. *Resuscitation* 2011;82:568–71.
 14. Sasson C, Haukoos JS, Bond C, et al. Barriers and facilitators to learning and performing cardiopulmonary resuscitation in neighborhoods with low bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation prevalence and high rates of cardiac arrest in Columbus, OH. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2013;6:550–8.
 15. King R, Heisler M, Sayre MR, et al. Identification of factors integral to designing community-based CPR interventions for high-risk neighborhood residents. *Prehospital Emergency Care* 2015;19:308–12 (Official journal of the National Association of EMS Physicians and the National Association of State EMS Directors).
 16. Greenberg MR, Barr Jr GC, Rupp VA, et al. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation prescription program: a pilot randomized comparator trial. *J Emergency Med* 2012;43:166–71.
 17. Blewer AL, Leary M, Esposito EC, et al. Continuous chest compression cardiopulmonary resuscitation training promotes rescuer self-confidence and increased secondary training: a hospital-based randomized controlled trial*. *Crit Care Med* 2012;40:787–92.
 18. Brannon TS, White LA, Kilcrease JN, Richard LD, Spillers JG, Phelps CL. Use of instructional video to prepare parents for learning infant cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent)* 2009;22:133–7.
 19. Haugk M, Robak O, Sterz F, et al. High acceptance of a home AED programme by survivors of sudden cardiac arrest and their families. *Resuscitation* 2006;70:263–74.
 20. Knight LJ, Wintch S, Nichols A, Arnolde V, Schroeder AR. Saving a life after discharge: CPR training for parents of high-risk children. *J Healthc Qual* 2013;35:9–16 (quiz7).
 21. Barr Jr GC, Rupp VA, Hamilton KM, et al. Training mothers in infant cardiopulmonary resuscitation with an instructional DVD and manikin. *J Am Osteopath Assoc* 2013;113:538–45.
 22. Plant N, Taylor K. How best to teach CPR to schoolchildren: a systematic review. *Resuscitation* 2013;84:415–21.
 23. Cave DM, Aufderheide TP, Beeson J, et al. Importance and implementation of training in cardiopulmonary resuscitation and automated external defibrillation in schools: a science advisory from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2011;123:691–706.
 24. Wissenberg M, Lippert FK, Folke F, et al. Association of national initiatives to improve cardiac arrest management with rates of bystander intervention and patient survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA* 2013;310:1377–84.
 25. Bohn A, Van Aken HK, Mollhoff T, et al. Teaching resuscitation in schools: annual tuition by trained teachers is effective starting at age 10. A four-year prospective cohort study. *Resuscitation* 2012;83:619–25.
 26. Stroobants J, Monsieurs K, Devriendt B, Dreezen C, Vets P, Mols P. Schoolchildren as BLS instructors for relatives and friends: impact on attitude towards bystander CPR. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:1769–74.
 27. Stiell IG, Brown SP, Christenson J, et al. What is the role of chest compression depth during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest resuscitation?*. *Crit Care Med* 2012;40:1192–8.
 28. Song KJ, Shin SD, Park CB, et al. Dispatcher-assisted bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation in a metropolitan city: A before–after population-based study. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:34–41.
 29. Lewis M, Stubbs BA, Eisenberg MS. Dispatcher-assisted cardiopulmonary resuscitation: time to identify cardiac arrest and deliver chest compression instructions. *Circulation* 2013;128:1522–30.
 30. Bohm K, Stalhandske B, Rosenqvist M, Ulfvarson J, Hollenberg J, Svensson L. Tuition of emergency medical dispatchers in the recognition of agonal respiration increases the use of telephone assisted CPR. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:1025–8.
 31. Mancini ME, Cazzell M, Kardong-Edgren S, Cason CL. Improving workplace safety training using a self-directed CPR-AED learning program. *AAOHN J* 2009;57:159–67 (quiz 68–9).
 32. Cason CL, Kardong-Edgren S, Cazzell M, Behan D, Mancini ME. Innovations in basic life support education for healthcare providers: improving competence in cardiopulmonary resuscitation through self-directed learning. *J Nurses Staff Dev* 2009;25:E1–13.
 33. Einspruch EL, Lynch B, Aufderheide TP, Nichol G, Becker L. Retention of CPR skills learned in a traditional AHA Heartsaver course versus 30-min video self-training: a controlled randomized study. *Resuscitation* 2007;74:476–86.
 34. Lynch B, Einspruch EL, Nichol G, Becker LB, Aufderheide TP, Idris A. Effectiveness of a 30-min CPR self-instruction program for lay responders: a controlled randomized study. *Resuscitation* 2005;67:31–43.
 35. Chung CH, Siu AY, Po LL, Lam CY, Wong PC. Comparing the effectiveness of video self-instruction versus traditional classroom instruction targeted at cardiopulmonary resuscitation skills for laypersons: a prospective randomised controlled trial. *Hong Kong Med J = Xianggang yi xue za zhi/Hong Kong Acad Med* 2010;16:165–70.
 36. Andersen PO, Jensen MK, Lippert A, Ostergaard D. Identifying non-technical skills and barriers for improvement of teamwork in cardiac arrest teams. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:695–702.
 37. Flin R, Patey R, Glavin R, Maran N. Anaesthetists' non-technical skills. *Br J Anaesth* 2010;105:38–44.
 38. Iwami T, Kitamura T, Kawamura T, et al. Chest compression-only cardiopulmonary resuscitation for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with public-access defibrillation: a nationwide cohort study. *Circulation* 2012;126:2844–51.
 39. Nielsen AM, Folke F, Lippert FK, Rasmussen LS. Use and benefits of public access defibrillation in a nation-wide network. *Resuscitation* 2013;84:430–4.
 40. Harrison-Paul R, Timmons S, van Schalkwyk WD. Training lay-people to use automatic external defibrillators: are all of their needs being met? *Resuscitation* 2006;71:80–8.
 41. Perkins GD, Travers AH, Considine J, et al. Part 3: Adult basic life support and automated external defibrillation: 2015 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations. *Resuscitation* 2015.
 42. Perkins GD, Handley AJ, Koster KW, et al. European resuscitation council guidelines for resuscitation 2015 section 2 adult basic life support and automated external defibrillation. *Resuscitation* 2015;95:81–98.
 43. Yeung J, Meeks R, Edelson D, Gao F, Soar J, Perkins GD. The use of CPR feedback/prompt devices during training and CPR performance: a systematic review. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:743–51.
 44. Maconochie I, Bingham R, Eich C, et al. European resuscitation council guidelines for resuscitation 2015 section 6 Paediatric Life Support. *Resuscitation* 2015;95:222–47.
 45. Hoke RS, Chamberlain DA, Handley AJ. A reference automated external defibrillator provider course for Europe. *Resuscitation* 2006;69:421–33.
 46. Roppolo LP, Pepe PE, Campbell L, et al. Prospective, randomized trial of the effectiveness and retention of 30-min layperson training for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and automated external defibrillators: the American Airlines Study. *Resuscitation* 2007;74:276–85.
 47. Isbye DL, Rasmussen LS, Lippert FK, Rudolph SF, Ringsted CV. Laypersons may learn basic life support in 24 min using a personal resuscitation manikin. *Resuscitation* 2006;69:435–42.
 48. de Vries W, Turner NM, Monsieurs KG, Bierens JJ, Koster RW. Comparison of instructor-led automated external defibrillation training and three alternative DVD-based training methods. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:1004–9.
 49. Rieder S, Cummings P, Quan L. Comparison of three instructional methods for teaching cardiopulmonary resuscitation and use of an automatic external defibrillator to high school students. *Resuscitation* 2006;69:443–53.
 50. Roppolo LP, Heymann R, Pepe P, et al. A randomized controlled trial comparing traditional training in cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) to self-directed CPR learning in first year medical students: the two-person CPR study. *Resuscitation* 2011;82:319–25.
 51. Yeung J, Okamoto D, Soar J, Perkins GD. AED training and its impact on skill acquisition, retention and performance—a systematic review of alternative training methods. *Resuscitation* 2011;82:657–64.
 52. Deakin CD, Shewry E, Gray HH. Public access defibrillation remains out of reach for most victims of out-of-hospital sudden cardiac arrest. *Heart* 2014;100:619–23.
 53. Smith KK, Gilcreast D, Pierce K. Evaluation of staff's retention of ACLS and BLS skills. *Resuscitation* 2008;78:59–65.
 54. Woollard M, Whitfield R, Smith A, et al. Skill acquisition and retention in automated external defibrillator (AED) use and CPR by lay responders: a prospective study. *Resuscitation* 2004;60:17–28.
 55. Woollard M, Whitfield R, Newcombe RG, Colquhoun M, Vetter N, Chamberlain D. Optimal refresher training intervals for AED and CPR skills: a randomised controlled trial. *Resuscitation* 2006;71:237–47.
 56. Andresen D, Arntz HR, Grafing W, et al. Public access resuscitation program including defibrillator training for laypersons: a randomized trial to evaluate the impact of training course duration. *Resuscitation* 2008;76:419–24.
 57. Beckers SK, Fries M, Bickenbach J, et al. Retention of skills in medical students following minimal theoretical instructions on semi and fully automated external defibrillators. *Resuscitation* 2007;72:444–50.
 58. de Vries W, Handley AJ. A web-based micro-simulation program for self-learning BLS skills and the use of an AED. Can laypeople train themselves without a manikin? *Resuscitation* 2007;75:491–8.
 59. Jerin JM, Ansell BA, Larsen MP, Cummins RO. Automated external defibrillators: skill maintenance using computer-assisted learning. *Acad Emerg Med* 1998;5:709–17 (Official Journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine).
 60. Bobrow BJ, Vadeboncoeur TF, Spaite DW, et al. The effectiveness of ultra-brief and brief educational videos for training lay responders in hands-only cardiopulmonary resuscitation: implications for the future of citizen cardiopulmonary resuscitation training. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2011;4:220–6.
 61. Sutton RM, Niles D, Meaney PA, et al. Booster training: evaluation of instructor-led bedside cardiopulmonary resuscitation skill training and automated corrective feedback to improve cardiopulmonary resuscitation compliance of Pediatric Basic Life Support providers during simulated cardiac arrest. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2011;12:e116–21 (A Journal of the Society of Critical Care Medicine and the World Federation of Pediatric Intensive and Critical Care Societies).
 62. Sutton RM, Niles D, Meaney PA, et al. Low-dose, high-frequency CPR training improves skill retention of in-hospital pediatric providers. *Pediatrics* 2011;128:e145–51.

63. Harvey PR, Higenbottam CV, Owen A, Hulme J, Bion JF. Peer-led training and assessment in basic life support for healthcare students: synthesis of literature review and fifteen years practical experience. *Resuscitation* 2012;83:894–9.
64. Spooner BB, Fallaha JF, Kocierz L, Smith CM, Smith SC, Perkins GD. An evaluation of objective feedback in basic life support (BLS) training. *Resuscitation* 2007;73:417–24.
65. Kitamura T, Iwami T, Kawamura T, et al. Conventional and chest-compression-only cardiopulmonary resuscitation by bystanders for children who have out-of-hospital cardiac arrests: a prospective, nationwide, population-based cohort study. *Lancet* 2010;375:1347–54.
66. Castle N, Garton H, Kenward G. Confidence vs competence: basic life support skills of health professionals. *Br J Nurs* 2007;16:664–6.
67. Wik L, Myklebust H, Auestad BH, Steen PA. Twelve-month retention of CPR skills with automatic correcting verbal feedback. *Resuscitation* 2005;66:27–30.
68. Christenson J, Nafziger S, Compton S, et al. The effect of time on CPR and automated external defibrillator skills in the Public Access Defibrillation Trial. *Resuscitation* 2007;74:52–62.
69. Niles D, Sutton RM, Donoghue A, et al. Rolling Refreshers: a novel approach to maintain CPR psychomotor skill competence. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:909–12.
70. Beckers SK, Skorning MH, Fries M, et al. CPREzy improves performance of external chest compressions in simulated cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2007;72:100–7.
71. Nishisaki A, Nysaether J, Sutton R, et al. Effect of mattress deflection on CPR quality assessment for older children and adolescents. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:540–5.
72. Perkins GD, Kocierz L, Smith SC, McCulloch RA, Davies RP. Compression feedback devices over estimate chest compression depth when performed on a bed. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:79–82.
73. Kirkbright S, Finn J, Tohira H, Bremner A, Jacobs I, Celenza A. Audiovisual feedback device use by health care professionals during CPR: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised and non-randomised trials. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:460–71.
74. Yeung J, Davies R, Gao F, Perkins GD. A randomised control trial of prompt and feedback devices and their impact on quality of chest compressions—a simulation study. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:553–9.
75. Zapletal B, Greif R, Stumpf D, et al. Comparing three CPR feedback devices and standard BLS in a single rescuer scenario: a randomised simulation study. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:560–6.
76. Cheng A, Brown LL, Duff JP, et al. Improving cardiopulmonary resuscitation with a CPR feedback device and refresher simulations (CPR CARES Study): a randomised clinical trial. *JAMA Pediatr* 2015;169:137–44.
77. Clark LJ, Watson J, Cobbe SM, Reeve W, Swann IJ, Macfarlane PW. CPR '98: a practical multimedia computer-based guide to cardiopulmonary resuscitation for medical students. *Resuscitation* 2000;44:109–17.
78. Hudson JN. Computer-aided learning in the real world of medical education: does the quality of interaction with the computer affect student learning? *Med Educ* 2004;38:887–95.
79. Jang KS, Hwang SY, Park SJ, Kim YM, Kim MJ. Effects of a Web-based teaching method on undergraduate nursing students' learning of electrocardiography. *J Nurs Educ* 2005;44:35–9.
80. Leong SL, Baldwin CD, Adelman AM. Integrating Web-based computer cases into a required clerkship: development and evaluation. *Acad Med* 2003;78:295–301 (Journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges).
81. Rosser JC, Herman B, Risucci DA, Murayama M, Rosser LE, Merrell RC. Effectiveness of a CD-ROM multimedia tutorial in transferring cognitive knowledge essential for laparoscopic skill training. *Am J Surg* 2000;179:320–4.
82. Papadimitriou L, Xanthos T, Bassiakou E, Stroumpoulis K, Barouxis D, Iacovidou N. Distribution of pre-course BLS/AED manuals does not influence skill acquisition and retention in lay rescuers: a randomised study. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:348–52.
83. Perkins GD, Fullerton JN, Davis-Gomez N, et al. The effect of pre-course e-learning prior to advanced life support training: a randomised controlled trial. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:877–81.
84. Perkins GD, Kimani PK, Bullock I, et al. Improving the efficiency of advanced life support training: a randomized. *Controlled Trial Ann Intern Med* 2012;157:19–28.
85. Thorne CJ, Lockey AS, Bullock I, et al. E-learning in advanced life support—an evaluation by the Resuscitation Council (UK). *Resuscitation* 2015;90:79–84.
86. Orde S, Celenza A, Pinder M. A randomised trial comparing a 4-stage to 2-stage teaching technique for laryngeal mask insertion. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:1687–91.
87. Greif R, Egger L, Basciani RM, Lockey A, Vogt A. Emergency skill training—a randomized controlled study on the effectiveness of the 4-stage approach compared to traditional clinical teaching. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:1692–7.
88. Mundell WC, Kennedy CC, Szostek JH, Cook DA. Simulation technology for resuscitation training: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Resuscitation* 2013;84:1174–83.
89. Cheng A, Lang TR, Starr SR, Pusic M, Cook DA. Technology-enhanced simulation and pediatric education: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2014;133:e1313–23.
90. Cheng A, Lockey A, Bhanji F, Lin Y, Hunt EA, Lang E. The use of high-fidelity manikins for advanced life support training—A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Resuscitation* 2015.
91. Krogh KB, Hoyer CB, Ostergaard D, Eika B. Time matters—realism in resuscitation training. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:1093–8.
92. Hunt EA, Cruz-Eng H, Bradshaw JH, et al. A novel approach to life support training using “action-linked phrases”. *Resuscitation* 2015;86:1–5.
93. Hunt EA, Duval-Arnould JM, Nelson-McMillan KL, et al. Pediatric resident resuscitation skills improve after “rapid cycle deliberate practice” training. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:945–51.
94. Hunziker S, Buhlmann C, Tschan F, et al. Brief leadership instructions improve cardiopulmonary resuscitation in a high-fidelity simulation: a randomized controlled trial. *Crit Care Med* 2010;38:1086–91.
95. Hunziker S, Tschan F, Semmer NK, et al. Hands-on time during cardiopulmonary resuscitation is affected by the process of teambuilding: a prospective randomised simulator-based trial. *BMC Emerg Med* 2009;9:3.
96. Andreatta P, Saxton E, Thompson M, Annich G. Simulation-based mock codes significantly correlate with improved pediatric patient cardiopulmonary arrest survival rates. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2011;12:33–8 (A Journal of the Society of Critical Care Medicine and the World Federation of Pediatric Intensive and Critical Care Societies).
97. Neily J, Mills PD, Young-Xu Y, et al. Association between implementation of a medical team training program and surgical mortality. *JAMA* 2010;304:1693–700.
98. Boet S, Bould MD, Fung L, et al. Transfer of learning and patient outcome in simulated crisis resource management: a systematic review. *Can J Anaesth = J Can d'anesth* 2014;61:571–82.
99. Rall M, Gaba DM, Dieckmann RA. Patient simulation. In: Miller RD, editor. *Anesthesia*. New York, NY: Elsevier; 2010. p. 151–92.
100. Thomas EJ, Taggart B, Crandell S, et al. Teaching teamwork during the Neonatal Resuscitation Program: a randomized trial. *J Perinatol* 2007;27:409–14 (Official journal of the California Perinatal Association).
101. Gilfoyle E, Gottesman R, Razack S. Development of a leadership skills workshop in paediatric advanced resuscitation. *Med Teach* 2007;29:e276–83.
102. Edelson DP, Litzinger B, Arora V, et al. Improving in-hospital cardiac arrest process and outcomes with performance debriefing. *Arch Intern Med* 2008;168:1063–9.
103. Hayes CW, Rhee A, Detsky ME, Leblanc VR, Wax RS. Residents feel unprepared and unsupervised as leaders of cardiac arrest teams in teaching hospitals: a survey of internal medicine residents. *Crit Care Med* 2007;35:1668–72.
104. Marsch SC, Muller C, Marquardt K, Conrad G, Tschan F, Hunziker PR. Human factors affect the quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation in simulated cardiac arrests. *Resuscitation* 2004;60:51–6.
105. Salas E, DiazGranados D, Weaver SJ, King H. Does team training work? Principles for health care. *Acad Emerg Med* 2008;15:1002–9 (Official journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine).
106. Eppich W, Howard V, Vozenilek J, Curran I. Simulation-based team training in healthcare. *Simul Healthc* 2011;6(Suppl):S14–9 (Journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare).
107. Thomas EJ, Williams AL, Reichman EF, Lasky RE, Crandell S, Taggart WR. Team training in the neonatal resuscitation program for interns: teamwork and quality of resuscitations. *Pediatrics* 2010;125:539–46.
108. Garbee DD, Paige J, Barrier K, et al. Interprofessional teamwork among students in simulated codes: a quasi-experimental study. *Nurs Educ Perspect* 2013;34:339–44.
109. Chung SP, Cho J, Park YS, et al. Effects of script-based role play in cardiopulmonary resuscitation team training. *Emerg Med J: EMJ* 2011;28:690–4.
110. Yeung JH, Ong GJ, Davies RP, Gao F, Perkins GD. Factors affecting team leadership skills and their relationship with quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Crit Care Med* 2012;40:2617–21.
111. Blackwood J, Duff JP, Nettel-Aguirre A, Djogovic D, Joynt C. Does teaching crisis resource management skills improve resuscitation performance in pediatric residents? *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2014;15:e168–74 (A Journal of the Society of Critical Care Medicine and the World Federation of Pediatric Intensive and Critical Care Societies).
112. Weidman EK, Bell G, Walsh D, Small S, Edelson DP. Assessing the impact of immersive simulation on clinical performance during actual in-hospital cardiac arrest with CPR-sensing technology: a randomized feasibility study. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:1556–61.
113. Cooper S, Cant R, Porter J, et al. Rating medical emergency teamwork performance: development of the Team Emergency Assessment Measure (TEAM). *Resuscitation* 2010;81:446–52.
114. Kim J, Neilipovitz D, Cardinal P, Chiu M. A comparison of global rating scale and checklist scores in the validation of an evaluation tool to assess performance in the resuscitation of critically ill patients during simulated emergencies (abbreviated as “CRM simulator study IB”). *Simul Healthc* 2009;4:6–16 (Journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare).
115. Malec JF, Torsher LC, Dunn WF, et al. The mayo high performance teamwork scale: reliability and validity for evaluating key crew resource management skills. *Simul Healthc* 2007;2:4–10 (Journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare).
116. Rosen MA, Salas E, Silvestri S, Wu TS, Lazzara EH. A measurement tool for simulation-based training in emergency medicine: the simulation module for assessment of resident targeted event responses (SMARTER) approach. *Simul Healthc* 2008;3:170–9 (Journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare).
117. Fischer H, Strunk G, Neuhold S, et al. The effectiveness of ERC advanced life support (ALS) provider courses for the retention of ALS knowledge. *Resuscitation* 2012;83:227–31.
118. Jensen ML, Lippert F, Hessfeldt R, et al. The significance of clinical experience on learning outcome from resuscitation training—a randomised controlled study. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:238–43.

119. Fischer H, Bachmann K, Strunk G, et al. Translation of ERC resuscitation guidelines into clinical practice by emergency physicians. *Scand J Trauma, Resuscitation Emerg Med* 2014;22:9.
120. Rodgers DL, Bhanji F, McKee BR. Written evaluation is not a predictor for skills performance in an Advanced Cardiovascular Life Support course. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:453–6.
121. Napier F, Davies RP, Baldock C, et al. Validation for a scoring system of the ALS cardiac arrest simulation test (CASTest). *Resuscitation* 2009;80:1034–8.
122. Kromann CB, Jensen ML, Ringsted C. The effect of testing on skills learning. *Med Educ* 2009;43:21–7.
123. Kromann CB, Bohnstedt C, Jensen ML, Ringsted C. The testing effect on skills learning might last 6 months. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract* 2010;15:395–401.
124. Kurosawa H, Ikeyama T, Achuff P, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of in situ pediatric advanced life support recertification (“pediatric advanced life support reconstructed”) compared with standard pediatric advanced life support recertification for ICU frontline providers*. *Crit Care Med* 2014;42:610–8.
125. Patocka C, Khan F, Dubrovsky AS, Brody D, Bank I, Bhanji F. Pediatric resuscitation training-instruction all at once or spaced over time? *Resuscitation* 2015;88:6–11.
126. Stross JK. Maintaining competency in advanced cardiac life support skills. *Jama* 1983;249:3339–41.
127. Jensen ML, Mondrup F, Lippert F, Ringsted C. Using e-learning for maintenance of ALS competence. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:903–8.
128. Kaczorowski J, Levitt C, Hammond M, et al. Retention of neonatal resuscitation skills and knowledge: a randomized controlled trial. *Fam Med* 1998;30:705–11.
129. Bender J, Kennally K, Shields R, Overly F. Does simulation booster impact retention of resuscitation procedural skills and teamwork? *J Perinatol* 2014;34:664–8. Official journal of the California Perinatal Association.
130. Nelson KL, Shilkofski NA, Haggerty JA, Saliski M, Hunt EA. The use of cognitive AIDS during simulated pediatric cardiopulmonary arrests. *Simul Healthc* 2008;3:138–45. journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare.
131. Mills PD, DeRosier JM, Neily J, McKnight SD, Weeks WB, Bagian JP. A cognitive aid for cardiac arrest: you can't use it if you don't know about it. *Jt Commun J Qual Saf* 2004;30:488–96.
132. Kelleher DC, Carter EA, Waterhouse LJ, Parsons SE, Fritzen JL, Burd RS. Effect of a checklist on advanced trauma life support task performance during pediatric trauma resuscitation. *Acad Emerg Med* 2014;21:1129–34. Official journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine.
133. Mpotos N, Lemoine S, Calle PA, Deschepere E, Valcke M, Monsieurs KG. Combining video instruction followed by voice feedback in a self-learning station for acquisition of Basic Life Support skills: a randomised non-inferiority trial. *Resuscitation* 2011;82:896–901.
134. Mpotos N, Yde L, Calle P, et al. Retraining basic life support skills using video, voice feedback or both: a randomised controlled trial. *Resuscitation* 2013;84:72–7.
135. Skorning M, Derwall M, Brokmann JC, et al. External chest compressions using a mechanical feedback device: cross-over simulation study. *Der Anaesthesist* 2011;60:717–22.
136. Handley AJ, Handley SA. Improving CPR performance using an audible feedback system suitable for incorporation into an automated external defibrillator. *Resuscitation* 2003;57:57–62.
137. Woollard M, Poposki J, McWhinnie B, Rawlins L, Munro G, O'Meara P. Achy breaky makey wakey heart? A randomised crossover trial of musical prompts. *Emerg Med J: EMJ* 2012;29:290–4.
138. Oh JH, Lee SJ, Kim SE, Lee KJ, Choe JW, Kim CW. Effects of audio tone guidance on performance of CPR in simulated cardiac arrest with an advanced airway. *Resuscitation* 2008;79:273–7.
139. Rawlins L, Woollard M, Williams J, Hallam P. Effect of listening to Nellie the Elephant during CPR training on performance of chest compressions by lay people: randomised crossover trial. *BMJ* 2009;339:b4707.
140. Couper K, Smyth M, Perkins GD. Mechanical devices for chest compression: to use or not to use? *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2015;21:188–94.
141. Allan CK, Thiagarajan RR, Beke D, et al. Simulation-based training delivered directly to the pediatric cardiac intensive care unit engenders preparedness, comfort, and decreased anxiety among multidisciplinary resuscitation teams. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;140:646–52.
142. Lighthall GK, Poon T, Harrison TK. Using in situ simulation to improve in-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Jt Commun J Qual Patient Saf* 2010;36:209–16.
143. Mikrogianakis A, Osmond MH, Nuth JE, Shephard A, Gaboury M. Evaluation of a multidisciplinary pediatric mock trauma code educational initiative: a pilot study. *J Trauma* 2008;64:761–7.
144. Farah R, Stiner E, Zohar Z, Zveibil F, Eisenman A. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation surprise drills for assessing, improving and maintaining cardiopulmonary resuscitation skills of hospital personnel. *Eur J Emerg Med* 2007;14:332–6 (Official journal of the European Society for Emergency Medicine).
145. Villamaria FJ, Pliego JF, Wehbe-Jane H, et al. Using simulation to orient code blue teams to a new hospital facility. *Simul Healthc* 2008;3:209–16 (Journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare).
146. Hunt EA, Hohenhaus SM, Luo X, Frush KS. Simulation of pediatric trauma stabilization in 35 North Carolina emergency departments: identification of targets for performance improvement. *Pediatrics* 2006;117:641–8.
147. Hunt EA, Walker AR, Shaffner DH, Miller MR, Pronovost PJ. Simulation of in-hospital pediatric medical emergencies and cardiopulmonary arrests: highlighting the importance of the first 5 min. *Pediatrics* 2008;121:e34–43.
148. Raemer D, Anderson M, Cheng A, Fanning R, Nardkarni V, Savoldelli G. Research regarding debriefing as part of the learning process. *Simul Healthc* 2011;6Suppl:S52–7 (Journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare).
149. Byrne AJ, Sellen AJ, Jones JG, et al. Effect of videotape feedback on anaesthetists' performance while managing simulated anaesthetic crises: a multicentre study. *Anaesthesia* 2002;57:176–9.
150. Savoldelli GL, Naik VN, Park J, Joo HS, Chow R, Hamstra SJ. Value of debriefing during simulated crisis management: oral versus video-assisted oral feedback. *Anesthesiology* 2006;105:279–85.
151. Olasveengen TM, Vik E, Kuzovlev A, Sunde K. Effect of implementation of new resuscitation guidelines on quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation and survival. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:407–11.
152. Aufderheide TP, Yannopoulos D, Lick CJ, et al. Implementing the 2005 American Heart Association Guidelines improves outcomes after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Heart Rhythm* 2010;7:1357–62.
153. Rea TD, Helbock M, Perry S, et al. Increasing use of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation arrest: survival implications of guideline changes. *Circulation* 2006;114:2760–5.
154. Garza AG, Gratton JC, Salomone JA, Lindholm D, McElroy J, Archer R. Improved patient survival using a modified resuscitation protocol for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation* 2009;119:2597–605.
155. Deasy C, Bray JE, Smith K, et al. Cardiac arrest outcomes before and after the 2005 resuscitation guidelines implementation: evidence of improvement? *Resuscitation* 2011;82:984–8.
156. Bigham BL, Koprowicz K, Rea T, et al. Cardiac arrest survival did not increase in the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium after implementation of the 2005 AHA CPR and ECC guidelines. *Resuscitation* 2011;82:979–83.
157. Lund-Kordahl I, Olasveengen TM, Lorent T, Samdal M, Wik L, Sunde K. Improving outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest by strengthening weak links of the local Chain of Survival; quality of advanced life support and post-resuscitation care. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:422–6.
158. Engdahl J, Abrahamsson P, Bang A, Lindqvist J, Karlsson T, Herlitz J. Is hospital care of major importance for outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest? Experience acquired from patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest resuscitated by the same Emergency Medical Service and admitted to one of two hospitals over a 16-year period in the municipality of Goteborg. *Resuscitation* 2000;43:201–11.
159. Callaway CW, Schmicker R, Kampmeyer M, et al. Receiving hospital characteristics associated with survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:524–9.
160. Carr BG, Goyal M, Band RA, et al. A national analysis of the relationship between hospital factors and post-cardiac arrest mortality. *Intensive Care Med* 2009;35:505–11.
161. Carr BG, Kahn JM, Merchant RM, Kramer AA, Neumar RW. Inter-hospital variability in post-cardiac arrest mortality. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:30–4.
162. Davis DP, Fisher R, Aguilar S, et al. The feasibility of a regional cardiac arrest receiving system. *Resuscitation* 2007;74:44–51.
163. Fothergill RT, Watson LR, Virdi GK, Moore FP, Whitbread M. Survival of resuscitated cardiac arrest patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) conveyed directly to a Heart Attack Centre by ambulance clinicians. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:96–8.
164. Stub D, Smith K, Bray JE, Bernard S, Duffy SJ, Kaye DM. Hospital characteristics are associated with patient outcomes following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Heart* 2011;97:1489–94.
165. Bosson N, Kaji AH, Niemann JT, et al. Survival and neurologic outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: results one year after regionalization of post-cardiac arrest care in a large metropolitan area. *Prehospital Emerg Care* 2014;18:217–23 (Official Journal of the National Association of EMS Physicians and the National Association of State EMS Directors).
166. Callaway CW, Schmicker RH, Brown SP, et al. Early coronary angiography and induced hypothermia are associated with survival and functional recovery after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:657–63.
167. Cudnik MT, Sasson C, Rea TD, et al. Increasing hospital volume is not associated with improved survival in out of hospital cardiac arrest of cardiac etiology. *Resuscitation* 2012;83:862–8.
168. Heffner AC, Pearson DA, Nussbaum ML, Jones AE. Regionalization of post-cardiac arrest care: implementation of a cardiac resuscitation center. *Am Heart J* 2012;164:493–501, e2.
169. Lee SJ, Jeung KW, Lee BK, et al. Impact of case volume on outcome and performance of targeted temperature management in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survivors. *Am J Emerg Med* 2015;33:31–6.
170. Kang MJ, Lee TR, Shin TG, et al. Survival and neurologic outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients who were transferred after return of spontaneous circulation for integrated post-cardiac arrest syndrome care: the another feasibility of the cardiac arrest center. *J Korean Med Sci* 2014;29:1301–7.
171. Spiro JR, White S, Quinn N, et al. Automated cardiopulmonary resuscitation using a load-distributing band external cardiac support device for in-hospital cardiac arrest: a single centre experience of AutoPulse-CPR. *Int J Cardiol* 2015;180:7–14.
172. Wagner H, Rundgren M, Hardig BM, et al. A structured approach for treatment of prolonged cardiac arrest cases in the coronary catheterization laboratory using mechanical chest compressions. *Int J Cardiovasc Res* 2013;2:4.

173. Chan TK, Hong Kong J Emerg Med 2012;19:305–11.
174. Zijlstra JA, Stieglis R, Riedijk F, Smeekes M, van der Worp WE, Koster RW. Local lay rescuers with AEDs, alerted by text messages, contribute to early defibrillation in a Dutch out-of-hospital cardiac arrest dispatch system. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:1444–9.
175. Ringh M, Fredman D, Nordberg P, Stark T, Hollenberg J. Mobile phone technology identifies and recruits trained citizens to perform CPR on out-of-hospital cardiac arrest victims prior to ambulance arrival. *Resuscitation* 2011;82:1514–8.
176. Jiang C, Zhao Y, Chen Z, Chen S, Yang X. Improving cardiopulmonary resuscitation in the emergency department by real-time video recording and regular feedback learning. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:1664–9.
177. Stiell IG, Wells GA, Field BJ, et al. Improved out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survival through the inexpensive optimization of an existing defibrillation program: OPALS study phase II. Ontario prehospital advanced life support. *JAMA* 1999;281:1175–81.
178. Olasveengen TM, Tomlinson AE, Wik L, et al. A failed attempt to improve quality of out-of-hospital CPR through performance evaluation. *Prehospital Emerg Care* 2007;11:427–33.
179. Clarke S, Lyon R, Milligan D, Clegg G. Resuscitation feedback and targeted education improves quality of pre-hospital resuscitation in Scotland. *Emerg Med J* 2011;28:A6.
180. Fletcher D, Galloway R, Chamberlain D, Pateman J, Bryant G, Newcombe RG. Basics in advanced life support: a role for download audit and metronomes. *Resuscitation* 2008;78:127–34.
181. Rittenberger JC, Guyette FX, Tisherman SA, DeVita MA, Alvarez RJ, Callaway CW. Outcomes of a hospital-wide plan to improve care of comatose survivors of cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2008;79:198–204.
182. Wolfe H, Zebuhr C, Topjian AA, et al. Interdisciplinary ICU cardiac arrest debriefing improves survival outcomes*. *Crit Care Med* 2014;42:1688–95.
183. Hillman K, Chen J, Cretikos M, et al. Introduction of the medical emergency team (MET) system: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2005;365:2091–7.
184. Buist MD, Moore GE, Bernard SA, Waxman BP, Anderson JN, Nguyen TV. Effects of a medical emergency team on reduction of incidence of and mortality from unexpected cardiac arrests in hospital: preliminary study. *BMJ* 2002;324:387–90.
185. Beitler JR, Link N, Bails DB, Hurdle K, Chong DH. Reduction in hospital-wide mortality after implementation of a rapid response team: a long-term cohort study. *Crit Care* 2011;15:R269.
186. Chan PS, Khalid A, Longmore LS, Berg RA, Kosiborod M, Spertus JA. Hospital-wide code rates and mortality before and after implementation of a rapid response team. *JAMA* 2008;300:2506–13.
187. Konrad D, Jaderling G, Bell M, Granath F, Ekblom A, Martling CR. Reducing in-hospital cardiac arrests and hospital mortality by introducing a medical emergency team. *Intensive Care Med* 2010;36:100–6.
188. Lighthall GK, Parast LM, Rapoport L, Wagner TH. Introduction of a rapid response system at a United States veterans affairs hospital reduced cardiac arrests. *Anesth Analg* 2010;111:679–86.
189. Santamaria J, Tobin A, Holmes J. Changing cardiac arrest and hospital mortality rates through a medical emergency team takes time and constant review. *Crit Care Med* 2010;38:445–50.
190. Priestley G, Watson W, Rashidian A, et al. Introducing critical care outreach: a ward-randomised trial of phased introduction in a general hospital. *Intensive Care Med* 2004;30:1398–404.
191. Delasobera BE, Goodwin TL, Strehlow M, et al. Evaluating the efficacy of simulators and multimedia for refreshing ACLS skills in India. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:217–23.
192. Meaney PA, Sutton RM, Tsimba B, et al. Training hospital providers in basic CPR skills in Botswana: acquisition, retention and impact of novel training techniques. *Resuscitation* 2012;83:1484–90.
193. Jain A, Agarwal R, Chawla D, Paul V, Deorari A. Tele-education vs classroom training of neonatal resuscitation: a randomized trial. *J Perinatol* 2010;30:773–9 (Official Journal of the California Perinatal Association).
194. Jenko M, Frangez M, Manohin A. Four-stage teaching technique and chest compression performance of medical students compared to conventional technique. *Croat Med J* 2012;53:486–95.
195. Li Q, Ma EL, Liu J, Fang LQ, Xia T. Pre-training evaluation and feedback improve medical students' skills in basic life support. *Med Teach* 2011;33:e549–55.
196. Nilsson C, Sorensen BL, Sorensen JL. Comparing hands-on and video training for postpartum hemorrhage management. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2014;93:517–20.
197. Shavit I, Peled S, Steiner IP, et al. Comparison of outcomes of two skills-teaching methods on lay-rescuers' acquisition of infant basic life support skills. *Acad Emerg Med* 2010;17:979–86 (Official Journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine).
198. Bossaert L, Perkins GD, Askitopoulou H, et al. European resuscitation council guidelines for resuscitation 2015 section 11 the ethics of resuscitation and end-of-life decisions. *Resuscitation* 2015.
199. Zideman DA, De Buck EDJ, Singletary EM, et al. European resuscitation council guidelines for resuscitation 2015 section 9 first aid. *Resuscitation* 2015.
200. Soar J, Nolan JP, Bottiger BW, et al. European resuscitation council guidelines for resuscitation 2015 section 3 adult advanced life support. *Resuscitation* 2015.
201. ILCOR Scientific Evidence Evaluation and Review System. Available at: <https://volunteer.heart.org/apps/pico/Pages/default.aspx> [accessed 10.05.15].
202. Sandroni C, Fenici P, Cavallaro F, Bocci MG, Scapigliati A, Antonelli M. Haemodynamic effects of mental stress during cardiac arrest simulation testing on advanced life support courses. *Resuscitation* 2005;66:39–44.
203. Perkins GD, Barrett H, Bullock I, et al. The Acute Care Undergraduate TEaching (ACUTE) Initiative: consensus development of core competencies in acute care for undergraduates in the United Kingdom. *Intensive Care Med* 2005;31:1627–33.
204. DeVita MA, Smith GB, Adam SK, et al. Identifying the hospitalised patient in crisis—a consensus conference on the afferent limb of rapid response systems. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:375–82.
205. Smith GB, Osgood VM, Crane S. ALERT—a multiprofessional training course in the care of the acutely ill adult patient. *Resuscitation* 2002;52:281–6.
206. Ringsted C, Lippert F, Hesselheldt R, et al. Assessment of Advanced Life Support competence when combining different test methods—reliability and validity. *Resuscitation* 2007;75:153–60.
207. Perkins GD, Davies RP, Stallard N, Bullock I, Stevens H, Lockey A. Advanced life support cardiac arrest scenario test evaluation. *Resuscitation* 2007;75:484–90.
208. Soar J, Perkins GD, Harris S, et al. The immediate life support course. *Resuscitation* 2003;57:21–6.
209. Soar J, McKay U. A revised role for the hospital cardiac arrest team? *Resuscitation* 1998;38:145–9.
210. Spearpoint KG, Gruber PC, Brett SJ. Impact of the Immediate Life Support course on the incidence and outcome of in-hospital cardiac arrest calls: an observational study over 6 years. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:638–43.
211. Nolan J. Advanced life support training. *Resuscitation* 2001;50:9–11.
212. Perkins G, Lockey A. The advanced life support provider course. *BMJ* 2002;325:S81.
213. Tinsey V. A personal reflection and account on the newborn life support course. *MIDIRS Midwifery Digest* 2003;13:235–7.
214. Singh J, Santosh S, Wyllie JP, Mellon A. Effects of a course in neonatal resuscitation—evaluation of an educational intervention on the standard of neonatal resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2006;68:385–9.
215. Carapiet D, Fraser J, Wade A, Buss PW, Bingham R. Changes in paediatric resuscitation knowledge among doctors. *Arch Dis Child* 2001;84:412–4.
216. Schebesta K, Rossler B, Kimberger O, Hupfl M. Impact of the European Paediatric Life Support course on knowledge of resuscitation guidelines among Austrian emergency care providers. *Minerva Anesthesiol* 2012;78:434–41.
217. Cheron G, Jais JP, Cojocar B, Parez N, Biarent D. The European Paediatric Life Support course improves assessment and care of dehydrated children in the emergency department. *Eur J Pediatr* 2011;170:1151–7.
218. Charalampopoulos D, Karlis G, Baroux D, et al. Theoretical knowledge and skill retention 4 months after a European Paediatric Life Support course. *Eur J Emerg Med* 2014 (Official Journal of the European Society for Emergency Medicine).
219. Kirkpatrick D, Kirkpatrick J. Implementing the four levels: a practical guide for the evaluation of training programs. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler; 2007.
220. Ringsted C, Hodges B, Scherpbier A. 'The research compass': an introduction to research in medical education: AMEE Guide no. 56. *Med Teach* 2011;33:695–709.